UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA

Alexandria Division

In re:	
ANTOINETTE M. SAMUEL,	Case No. 11-18651-RGM
Debtor.	(Chapter 13)
ANTOINETTE M. SAMUEL,	
Plaintiff,	
vs.	Adv. Proc. No. 12-1133
STEPHEN A. SAMUEL, et al,	
Defendant.	

MEMORANDUM OPINION

At the conclusion of the case, Stephen A. Samuel inquired as to whether his liability on obligations secured by the Arlington property would be affected by the court's ruling. The court ruled that the Arlington property which Antoinette M. Samuel had conveyed from her own name to their joint names would be reconveyed to her alone. The court determined that the Baltimore properties were investment properties acquired by the parties jointly. Part of the financing for the investment properties involved refinancing the Arlington property.

Stephen A. Samuel's liability on any notes secured by the Arlington property is unaffected by the rulings in this case. There are two reasons. First, the lenders, although parties to the case, were not on notice that their rights to payment from Stephen A. Samuel might be affected by this case. Neither party requested that relief. The case was solely about how the property should be titled. Because the lenders were not on notice that there might be a request that Stephen A. Samuel

Case 12-01133-RGM Doc 136 Filed 01/30/13 Entered 01/30/13 16:17:32 Desc Main

Document Page 2 of 3

be released from liability, they did not appear and did not defend on that issue. The court is unable

to affect their rights unless they are given proper notice that the parties seek to modify their rights.

The second reason is that the financing now secured by the Arlington property is extricably

intertwined with the Baltimore investment properties. If the parties do not consensually resolve their

business relationship with respect to the Baltimore properties, it is likely that either the properties

will be sold at foreclosure or that one of the parties will seek partition of them together with an

accounting. That proceeding is the appropriate proceeding to determine as between the parties who

is responsible for the various obligations. The court notes that Antoinette M. Samuel is now before

the court in a chapter 13 proceeding and that the automatic stay imposed by §362 prevents Stephen

A. Samuel from commencing a partition and accounting suit without further relief from this court.

It is for these reasons that Stephen A. Samuel's liability to the lender for notes secured by

the Arlington property is not affected and cannot be released in this proceeding.

Alexandria, Virginia

January 30, 2013

/s/ Robert G. Mayer

Robert G. Mayer

United States Bankruptcy Judge

Copy electronically to:

Copy mailed to:

William Francis Markley David Thomas Lampton Stephen A. Samuel 9135 Karlo Street

Manassas, Virginia 20110

2

Central Mortgage Company 801 John Barrow, Suite 1 Little Rock, Arkansas 72205

Green Tree Servicing, LLC 7360 South Kyrene Road Recovery Dept. T-120 Tempe, Arizona 85283

Lakeside National Mortgage 54 Windemere Parkway Phoenix, Maryland 21131

18271